Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/798,897	BERTRAM, GEORGE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
J. Casimer Jacyna	3754		

	J. Casimer Jacyna	3754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			04400	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>25</u> would be allowa allowable claim(s).	ble if submitted in a separate, time	ly filed amendment ca	inceling the non-	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	·	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>26</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-25,27-29,31-36,38,41,43-45 and 47-</u>	<u>57</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:				
10. [_] Outer				
	/J. Casimer Jacyna/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3754		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: whether or not claims 20, 21, 23, 56 and 57 are allowable when dependent from a combination of claims 18, 22 and 24 (which are added to claim 18 in the proposed amendment) rather than from claim 18 alone presents new issues that would require further consideration or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: When giving the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation, the inlet pipe is part of the inlet manifold (in regard to claim 24) and Gusmer clearly teaches placing an inlet filter on the inlet pipe which as broadly claimed is extending across the lower input pipe region of the inlet manifold. In regard to claim 36, either of the inlet valves 54 or 68 of Bezaire clearly teach flow stoppers that preclude back flow into the inlet valve assembly 46 as claimed.